

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/017,481

Applicant(s)

EDLER, GERHARD

Examiner

Peter Szekeley

Art Unit

1714

## All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekeley.

(2) John Sopp.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2004

Time: 11:00 a.m.

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Anticipation and obviousness*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 10, 12 and 13.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Solms et al. 6,545,065.*


## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Claim 13 will be incorporated into claim 1, a method step will be added to claim 10, a plastic will be added to claim 12 and claim 13 will be canceled.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)